UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,605	12/23/2003	Wee Song, Steve Loy	BCONP2003-13	1604
31366 HORIZON IP I	7590 01/16/2008 PTE LTD		EXAM	INER
	SECTOR, EAST WING		JARRETT,	RYAN A
7TH FLOOR SINGAPORE 3	349282, 349282		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SINGAPORE			2125	
			ſ	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		γ_{N}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/707,605	LOY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan A. Jarrett	2125	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/o	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) ac	•	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	(d)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• • •	(u).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the principal copies of the	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer	Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.	
,			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

10/707,605 Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to automating validation in a pharmaceutical manufacturing facility.
- II. Claims 1, 3, and 4, drawn to grouping automated devices in at least one automated module, or in a plurality of automated modules.
- III. Claims 1 and 5, drawn to real-time continuous and concurrent validation.
- IV. Claims 1, 6, and 7, drawn to planning corrective actions that comprise changing requirements.
- V. Claims 1 and 8, drawn to adjusting process parameters.
- VI. Claims 1 and 9, drawn to collecting processing data for validation according to the defined requirements.
- VII. Claims 1 and 10, drawn to hub-boxes facilitating communication between automated devices.
- VIII. Claims 1 and 11, drawn to coupling proposed automated equipment to the hub-box to assess the proposed automated equipment.
- IX. Claims 1 and 12-15, drawn to providing a generic interface unit.
- X. Claims 1 and 16-17, drawn to providing a plurality of hub-boxes which are interconnected, at least one of which is redundant.
- XI. Claims 18-19, drawn to a virtual platform for automated production.

Application/Control Number:

10/707,605

Art Unit: 2125

XII. Claim 20, drawn to a virtual platform for automated production comprising a change database and a manufacturing information database.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-X are each related as combination and subcombination, or vice-versa. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combinations as claimed do not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed, and the subcombinations have separate utility, since there is a two-way distinction between each Invention I-X.

methodology of Invention II, and Invention II has separate utility such as by itself, as evidenced by its separate claiming, i.e., in a method that is not employed in a pharmaceutical manufacturing facility, as required by Invention I.

For example, Invention I does not require the particular automated device grouping

Inventions XI and XII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Invention XI) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Invention XII) as claimed because claims 18 and 19 do not require the change database, manufacturing information database, or

external interface required by claim 20. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself, as evidenced by its separate claiming, i.e., in a system that does not comprise a hub-box that collects, processes and stores data from the automated modules; or a hub-box that provides a virtual link between co-operating automated devices; or a plurality of hub-boxes that are interconnected by a distribution system, as required by claims 18 and/or 19.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions (I-X) and (XI-XII) are each related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the processes of Inventions I-X can each be practiced by another and materially different apparatus. Namely, an apparatus that

10/707,605

Art Unit: 2125

does not comprise first and second communication protocols as required by the

apparatuses of Inventions XI-XII.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I-X. The restriction requirement among the linked

inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the

indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the

linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise

requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully

examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the

limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the

amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116;

amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional

application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim,

such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting

rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is

withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443

F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number:

10/707,605

Art Unit: 2125

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. It would be a serious burden to search for all the features found in dependent claims 2-17, if independent claim 1 were found to not be allowable, and if such features were not found in a single base reference. Multiple different text search queries would be required.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10/707,605

Art Unit: 2125

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-3742. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ryan A. Jarrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

01/11/08

RYAN A. JARRETT PRIMARY EXAMINER